Message

From: Cooper, William [Cooper.William@epa.gov]

Sent: 8/30/2016 10:03:05 PM

To: Mylott, Richard [Mylott.Richard@epa.gov]
CC: Moler, Robert [Moler.Robert@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: CFAC web page

Just remember, any updates I make in the CEF will not publish until the following morning. Welcome to the wonderful world of the Superfund Special Project!

I'll leave it to you guys at the higher pay grades to figure out the news stuff.

Thanks!

From: Mylott, Richard

Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2016 4:00 PM

To: Cooper, William < Cooper.William@epa.gov> **Cc:** Moler, Robert < Moler.Robert@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: CFAC web page

Ok, will defer to Robert on updates, but we should have stuff to post Tuesday. I'm not sure linking to news articles on site pages is ideal.

From: Cooper, William

Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2016 3:58 PM

To: Mylott, Richard < Mylott.Richard@epa.gov > Cc: Moler, Robert < Moler.Robert@epa.gov >

Subject: RE: CFAC web page

Just FYI, I will not be able to update any Superfund site websites until Tuesday. The whole system will be frozen from tonight through Saturday afternoon to update to CEF 2.0.

As for what goes under Stay Updated, I am not aware of any rules about what can and cannot go there. You give HQ too much credit. It's up to the site team to choose what, if anything, to post there in the way of site news.

Rich, send any updates you want made to the website through Robert. He does a fantastic job of managing the content for all of the Montana sites, except Libby. I only do his bidding to make it public. ©

From: Mylott, Richard

Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2016 3:42 PM

To: Cooper, William < Co: Moler, Robert Moler, Robert@epa.gov>

Subject: CFAC web page

Bill, Robert- I'm anticipating updates to the CFAC page next week, including a link to a regional press release announcing final listing decision. I noticed that the "stay updated" section has a link to independent news articles related to the site. I'm wondering if that is something that HQ established as a template for our own news items or for links to these types of TV and news station reports? Those seem to make us a bit vulnerable in terms of 1.) implying they are accurate and 2.) promoting messages that arent necessarily our own?